

MAR 26 2018

By: [Redacted] Officer
DEPUTY CLERK



OFFICE OF
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-
RECORDER & ELECTIONS**
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

MARK CHURCH
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than March 26, 2018.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure Q for the Primary Election to be held on June 5, 2018.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Q Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: <u>MARK HINKLE</u>	Phone: [Redacted]
Mailing Address: [Redacted]	<u>Morgan Hill, CA 95037</u>
Fax: [Redacted]	Email: [Redacted]

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402
P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

		Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
1.	Name: MARK W. A. HINKLE Title: President Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED] Date: 3-26-2018	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.	Name: _____ Title: _____ Phone: _____ Address: _____ Signature: _____ Date: _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.	Name: _____ Title: _____ Phone: _____ Address: _____ Signature: _____ Date: _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.	Name: _____ Title: _____ Phone: _____ Address: _____ Signature: _____ Date: _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.	Name: _____ Title: _____ Phone: _____ Address: _____ Signature: _____ Date: _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

1. Name: _____ Title: _____
 Phone: _____ Email: _____
 Address: _____
 Signature: _____ Date: _____

2. Name: Mark W. A. Hinkle President, Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association
 Phone: [Redacted] Email: [Redacted]
 Address: [Redacted] Morgan Hill, CA 95037
 Signature: [Redacted] Date: March 26, 2018

3. Name: Harland Harrison Chair, Libertarian Party of San Mateo County CA
 Phone: [Redacted] Email: [Redacted]
 Address: [Redacted] Belmont CA 94002
 Signature: [Redacted] Date: March 26, 2018

4. Name: _____ Title: _____
 Phone: _____ Email: _____
 Address: _____
 Signature: _____ Date: _____

5. Name: _____ Title: _____
 Phone: _____ Email: _____
 Address: _____
 Signature: _____ Date: _____

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers Registered N/A Signed Dated
 Bona Fide Association Verified N/A Signed Dated

MAR 26 2018

MARK CHURCH, Chief Elections Officer

Ravenswood City School District \$196 Parcel Tax: Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of Measure Q

DEPUTY CLERK

With this latest "temporary" parcel tax, the Ravenswood City School District claims they need more money to continue providing quality education.

10
8 18

The district just got a \$26M bond message approved in 2016 and now they're back for another \$70M bond this election. Plus they want another parcel tax.

16
11 27

Have they earned this extension that will cost you \$1,568 over the next 8 years (on top of the taxes you are already paying)?

17
7 24

Let's look at the student test scores for Math learners attaining proficiency:

12 12

- ~~2015-16~~ school year results: 12% meeting proficiency.
- That means 88% do NOT meet standards.
- Source: www.ed-data.org/district/San-Mateo/Ravenswood-City-Elementary

8 8
7 7

If you got 12% on a test, that would be an F- grade, would it not?

10 16

Should you, the voters, reward an 88% failure rate with more money?

12 12

If no, we encourage you to vote **NO on Measure Q.**

10 10

- For English, only 19% met or exceed standards!

8 8

No wonder that student enrollment is declining.

7 7

Ravenswood City School District is already **spending \$15,924 per student. That's 139% of the California average.** Clearly more money equals lower grades!

8
11 19

For an average class size of 25 students, that's \$398,100 per class per year.

14 14

The average salary and benefits for teachers is \$81,339 per shortened work year.

13 13

Yet, the District administration wants more of your hard earned money to pad their salaries and fat pension plans.

14
5 19

Tell the Ravenswood City School District Board to be fiscally responsible by voting **NO on Measure Q.**

10
2 12

If you reward failure, you will get more failure!

DEPUTY CLERK
By
MARK CHURCH, Chief Elections Officer

9 9

For more information please visit us at

MAR 26 2018

7 7

<http://www.svtaxpayers.org/2018-ravenswood-parcel-tax>

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

1 1