

MAR 2 6 2018



## OFFICE OF ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERKRECORDER & ELECTIONS COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

By DEPUTY CLERK
MARK CHURCH

CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

## **Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form**

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402
P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org

Rebuttal Argument	Signers Form			capacity	ner must o they are si that applies		
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument, than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are below.  If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shaname of that bona fide association/organization and may incluposition within that association/organization.  By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.			oe printed. are listed on, for each shall list the clude their	Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District.	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
1. MARK W.A. Phono:		President  Frail  Torgan Hill,  Date 3-26-	CA 95°037				
2. Name: Phone: Address:	and of contract of the contrac	Title: Email:				And the state of t	
Signature:  3, Name: Phone:		Date: Tille: Email:	moutat Licita um emiliareita Alamouta qui menteriarei città				
Address: Signature:		Date:		and one production of the control of			
A. Name: Phone: Address:		Title:	attend for the constraint of t		A STATE OF THE STA		
Signature:		Date:					
Phone:		Email:					A continued of the cont
Address: Signature:		Date:	ovi kurjanium a ta esiteudhi slavu khadani kwa shi wakan				
Submit a second form	n (this side only)	for alternate sign		to this	form an	d the ar	gument.
Signers Bona Fide Association	Registered Verified	N/A N/A	Signed Signed			ited ited	

Rebuttal Argumer	nt Signers Form				they are si that applies		eck the
than five signatures a Names and titles liste below. If the signers are par	are submitted, the fed will be printed in	ar with any argument. If more rst five listed shall be printed. the order that they are listed ociation/organization, for each the signer's name shall list the		Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	
name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their			lude their	Sch Sch istric	o Co	A Ass	
position within that a	_		desse	ming ty, a	Soverning Sounty, a Special Di Special Di Member o San Mate District, or Citizens/C		Individual
By signing below, the argument and believe	_	1.70	the	Sove			
Nama:	e it not to be false t	Title:			20,5		<u> </u>
1.   Name.		Thus.					
Phone:		Email:					
Address:							
, ida i dod.							
Signature:		Date:					
Name:		Title:					
Mark W. A. Hink	de Presider	t, Silicon Valley Taxpay	ers Association	n		~	$  \sqcup  $
Phone:		Email:			-		
Address: .			. 95037				
0:	N	lorgan Hill,	CA				
Signa		Date: March 26, 2018					
Name:		Title:					
Thanana mamoon	Chair, Lib	pertarian Party of San M Email:	lateo County		Ш	<b>Y</b>	$  \sqcup  $
Phone:		Email.					
Address:	Belmont CA	04002				-	
Signatur	Delmont OA :	Date:	····				
		March 26, 2018					
4. Name:		Title:		П	П		
Phone:		Email:					
Address:							
Signature:		Date:					
_ Name:		Title:					
5. Name:		Title.		П	П		
Phone:	PHILIPPIN CHANGE OF TAXABLE CHARACTER	Email:					
Address:		1					
Signature:		Date:					
		L		***********			
Submit a second for	m (this side only)			to this f	orm and	the arg	ume
gners	Registered	FOR OFFICIAL USE ON	LY Signed		Date	ed	
ona Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed		Date		
	- vornida	14/1	Olgiled		Date		

Each signer must designate in which

## <u>Las Lomitas Elementary School \$70M Bond: Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor Measure R</u>

Las Lomitas Elementary School District claims they need \$70M (plus 32 years of interest) to (repair/improve aging schools", virtually identical to the "repair and improve aging schools" statement made for the \$60M bond in 2013.	9 13 10	32			
This begs the question, why are repairs not part of the normal yearly budget?					
Just five years ago they wanted \$60M to "build classrooms for increased student enrollment". But, student <b>enrollment has declined</b> , not increased.					
Just five years ago they wanted \$60M to "to meet current health/safety codes" and now 5 years later they want \$70M to "meet health, safety/seismic codes".					
Déjà vu all over again?					
Just 5 years ago they wanted to "renovate heating/electrical systems" and now they want another \$70M to "upgradeelectrical/heating"". It's amazing how fast electrical and heating systems become obsolete.	1425	31			
If you thinks that's nuts, we encourage you to vote NO on Measure R.	/3	13			
Las Lomitas Elementary School District is already spending \$432,377 per class per year (23 students x \$18,799 per student. Source: California Educational Data Partnership	9	17			
The average salary and benefits for teachers is \$109,585.	9	9			
Subtract \$109,585 and that's still over \$320K per classroom per year. More than enough to buy every student the best laptop on the market.	13	24			
The administration just wants more of your hard earned money to pad their salaries and fat pension plans.	15 3	18			
Tell the Las Lomitas Elementary School District Board to be fiscally responsible.	7	7			
Vote NO on Measure R.	4	4			
For more information please visit us at	7	7			
http://www.svtaxpayers.org/2018-las-lomitas-bond					
FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER		731 W.			

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

MAR 2 6 2018

By \_\_\_\_\_