



OFFICE OF  
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY  
 CLERK, RECORDER &  
 ELECTIONS COUNTY  
 OF SAN MATEO**

By MARK CHURCH, Chief Elections Officer  
 DEPUTY CLERK

MAR 26 2018

**Mark Church**  
 IN THE OFFICE OF THE  
 Chief Elections Officer  
 OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.  
 Assessor-County Clerk Recorder

MAR 26 2018

**Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form**

By [Redacted Signature]  
 DEPUTY CLERK

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure for may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than **Mar 26, 2018**

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure **RM3** for the **Statewide Direct Primary** to be held on **Jun 05, 218**

Rebuttal to Primary Argument in Favor of Measure **RM3**  Rebuttal to Primary argument Against

**Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet**

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet.

**Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Opposing Primary Argument**

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of

This form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person:	Phone:
<b>David Schonbrunn</b>	[Redacted]
Address:	<b>San Rafael, CA 94915 (<a href="http://www.transdef.org">www.transdef.org</a>)</b>
Fax:	Email: [Redacted]

**Please complete the reverse side of this form**

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email [registrar@smcare.org](mailto:registrar@smcare.org) web [www.smcare.org](http://www.smcare.org)

# Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, there is no requirement that they be eligible to vote on the measure. However, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Governing Body of the San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of County of San Mateo, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens /Organization	Individual
--	---	---	------------

1.	Name:	David Schonbrunn	Title:	President, Transportation Solutions Defense and Education Fund (TRANSDEF)					
	Phone:	[REDACTED]	Email:	[REDACTED]					
	Address:	San Rafael, CA 94915							
	Signature:	[REDACTED] 3/25/18							
2.	Name:	PATRICIA L HOGAN-GIORMI	Title:	PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION ADVOCATE					X
	Phone:	[REDACTED]	Email:	[REDACTED]					
	Address:	BURLINGAME CA 94010							
	Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date:	March 25, 2018					
3.	Name:	Matt Feenster	Title:	Software Developer					X
	Phone:	[REDACTED]	Email:	[REDACTED]					
	Address:	Burlingame, CA 94010							
	Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date:	3/25/2018					
4.	Name:	LINDA KOELLING	Title:	Former Foster City Mayor					X
	Phone:	[REDACTED]	Email:	[REDACTED]					
	Address:	Foster City, CA 94404							
	Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date:	3/25/2018					
5.	Name:	Thomas Weissmiller	Title:	Retired Army Officer					X
	Phone:	[REDACTED]	Email:	[REDACTED]					
	Address:	San Mateo, CA 94402							
	Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date:	03/25/2018					

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/>	Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide	<input type="checkbox"/>	Association Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated



## Authorization Form Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. *California Elections Code §9167, §9317, §9504*

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure RM3 for the election to be held on June 05, 2018  
(date of election)

**I. NEW SIGNER(S):**

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: David Schonbrunn  
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Patricia L. Hagan Giorno  
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Matt Feenster  
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Linda Koelling  
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Thomas Weissmiller

**II. NEW PREPARER(S):**

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: David Schonbrunn  
Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: \_\_\_\_\_

**III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):**

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED** IN THE OFFICE OF THE  
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER  
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

MAR 26 2018

MARK [redacted] er  
By: [redacted]  
DEPUTY CLERK

**NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY AUTHOR(S):**

Jon Coupal [redacted]  
Printed Name and Signature of Author

2/20/18  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name and Signature of Author

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF REGIONAL MEASURE 3 (RM3)**  
San Mateo County

Regional Measure 3 is a bad deal: You pay higher tolls while congestion worsens. Higher trucking costs make shopping more expensive.

12  
7 19

Regional Measure 3 does not provide "a comprehensive long-term solution to reduce traffic, improve travel times and bring our public transportation system into the 21st century."

10  
13  
1 24

That's because it doesn't address the root cause of congestion: Too many people drive alone during commute hours. Instead of solving that problem, Regional Measure 3 is merely a hodgepodge of pet projects, offering something to everyone.

14  
11  
10 35

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission, the agency proposing the toll increase, has **ignored the (solo-driving) problem for decades**.

8  
8 16

- By MTC's own numbers, the same percentage of people will drive alone in 2040 as now.
- That won't work with "two million additional residents in the next two decades."
- **Adding a million more cars** to already crowded roads does **not** "take cars off the road and make everyone's commutes easier."
- Regional Measure 3 leads the way to **gridlock**.

14  
2 16  
13 13  
14  
7 21  
6 6

We need good alternatives to driving alone: Smartphone ridesharing apps now make it possible for drivers to pick up a nearby passenger with a similar destination. A media campaign can encourage far better use of our HOV lanes, with no construction costs. Many more will benefit from comprehensive, convenient, frequent transit.

13  
15  
14  
9 51

Don't believe proponent's talk about "strong taxpayer safeguards. MTC shifted money in 2004's Regional Measure 2 from the Dumbarton Corridor to the East Bay. **MTC and the counties can't be trusted** to spend the funds as authorized by the voters.

12  
11  
13 36

**Vote No on Regional Measure 3.**


4 4

See OccupyMTC.org

2 2

**FILED** IN THE OFFICE OF THE  
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER  
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

MAR 26 2018

By:   
DEPUTY CLERK

243 w.