



OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS
REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure T for the General to be held on 11-3-2020.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure T Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and **skip** the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person's Printed Name:

MARK HINKLE

Phone:

Email:

Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Phone:

Email:

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org

Rebuttal Argument: San Mateo/Foster City School District
\$409,000,000 Bond Issue: Measure T

In 2015, District voters approved a \$148,000,000 bond measure plus parcel tax increases in both 2017 and 2018. **That is 3 tax increases in 5 years.**

Yet, the School Board clearly is not finished milking you for more: \$409,000,000 more plus decades of interest payments that will double the cost. Bond debt, just like a mortgage, must be paid off with interest (legally up to 12%).

The 2015 bond debt was supposed to build 50 new classrooms for a school district with steadily declining enrollment.

But surely that \$148,000,000 bond debt plus parcel tax hikes of \$298 and \$209.92 have been used to increase academics, right?

Well, sadly no. Things are getting worse:

Let us look at latest student academic performance:

- 2018-19 school year results: **37.86% below grade level for English.**
- 201-19 school year results: **44.44% below grade for math.**

Source: California Department of Education - www.ed-data.org

Three school tax increases in the last 5 years has resulted in LOWER test scores!

If you reward failure, you will get more failure!

Would you take out a 30-40-year loan to buy a personal computer?

That would be nuts, right? But that is exactly what the San Mateo-Foster City School District wants to do with this bond measure to “*Provide student computers*”.

Do not reward failure and do not let the district buy computers that will be obsolete in 4-5 years but paid for over 30-40 years!

Just say NO to T.

More information: www.SVTaxpayers.org

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

AUG 24 2020

MARK CHURCH, CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
By: _____ Officer
DEPUTY CLERK