

## Check List for Accepting Ballot Arguments

** <u>C</u>	Oo Not file stamp the argument until all items below are checked off **	
	, s Title of Argument correct? KArgument In Favor of Measure;" "Argument Against Measure;" "R of Measure;" "Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure" (EC §9162,9	debuttal to Argument in Favor 9315,9501)
	Word Count within limit? Primary Arguments=300 (EC §§9162, 9315, 9501); Rebuttals=250 (EC §916 the title of the argument and required language from EC §9600 are not cou limit.	7, 9317, 9504) Remember, inted towards word count
_	Required form statement submitted with signatures? If not, do not accept (code states "all arguments filed shall be accompanied mandatory in the code). (EC §9600)	l by" and "shall" is
/—	Accompanying signatures and dates EC §9600 states that both proponents <u>and</u> authors must sign if they are diffive (5) signatures will be printed as per EC §§9164 and 9501.5. Make sure below). Make sure there is only one "title" (if any) appearing underneath excounty policy.	you know who is who (see
7	Author Designated?  Be sure the author is clearly designated. EC §§9167, 9317, and 9504 requires send copies of the pro and con arguments to the authors so they may prep Rebuttal Arguments, remember to check the primary argument and verify the sauthorized someone else in writing (see form) to prepare/submit/sign references.	are a rebuttal argument. For hat the author is the same or
Ø	Who is this argument being filed by? (please circle appropriate choice be a.) The governing board of the district or member or members of the boar	low) d authorized by the board.
	<ul> <li>b.) The individual voter, or *bona fide association of citizens, or combination</li> <li>who are the bona fide sponsors or proponents of the measure.</li> </ul>	
	c.) Bona fide association of citizens.	
	d.) Individual voters who are eligible to vote on the measure. If the argument is being submitted on behalf of an organization (or bon of the organization and the printed name and signature of at least one included. (EC §§9164, 9501.5)	a fide association), the name of its principle officers must be
1	Check each signature against the voter file. Also make sure you check that each "individual voter" lives within the bour eligible to vote on the measure. If there is a proponent who is not register district they cannot have their signature appear unless they are a "bona fid	ed in this county or within the
Æ	When all of the above items are checked: It is now ok to file stamp the argument as received for filing. Return to the obtain a fax number and e-mail address so you can send them the appropri their rebuttal. If no fax or e-mail is available, then obtain an address to mai	iate argument for preparing
Ø	Remind proponent of due date for Rebuttal arguments and that the nar author of the primary argument also appear on their rebuttal argument. (county), 9317 (district), and 9504 (school), we may only accept the rebuttal signers/preparers IF the original authors have provided permission (see for	. Pursuant to EC 9167 argument with different
7	Ask for an electronic file for the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Informati file should be emailed to the Sample Ballot coordinator and cc'd to the filir	officer.  FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
ΑU	THOR INFORMATION:	OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.
Na	me	- FEB 2 5/2013/
Pho	one Fax	- MABIN/CHIORCH, Chief Elections Officer
	nail	By: ////////////////////////////////////



## **Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form**

A ballot argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers. If the measure is presented to voters pursuant to an initiative petition in a special district election, please contact the Elections Office for special instructions.

Word	count limit for Primary Arguments = 300						
Ballot	Measurefor the	to be held on					
Pr	imary Argument in Favor of Primary Arg	ument Against					
This a	rgument is submitted by: (check all that apply)						
	e Governing Body of the County of San Mateo, a School District, or a Special District is argument is filed by the governing body of the County of San Mateo, a school district or a special district, fill in the name of the erning body on the line below and complete both sides of this form.						
	Contact Person's Printed Name:	Contact Person's Signature:					
	Title:						
	Phone:	Email:					
	Member(s) of the Governing Body of the County of S If this argument is filed by any member(s) of the governing body, fill in to members of school district governing boards need board authorization Member(s) of the Governing Body:  Contact Person's Printed Name:	the information below and complete both sides of this form. By statute,					
	Title:						
	Phone:	Email:					
[J	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization  If this argument is filed by a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the signers of the argument must be affiliated with the association/organization, be authorized to sign the argument on its behalf, provide the printed name and signature of at least one principal officer of the organization, and complete both sides of this form.  Name of Association/Organization:  Silicen Valley Tax payers Association/Organization:  Principal Officer's Printed Name and Title:  Mark W. A. Hinke; President  Email:  Mark Itinkle  Phone:  Fax:						
	Individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure Individual signers must be eligible to vote on the measure.	Individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure					
	Contact Person:	Phone:					
	Mailing Address:						
	Fax:	Email:					

Primary Argument Signers Form						Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.			
submitted, the first five liste	any argument. If more than five signatures are			Ō m	Member(s) of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District		Individual(s) eligible to vote on the measure		
Names and titles listed will					Mate t, or	rning	<u>ئ</u> و	vote	
If the signers are part of a b they be eligible to vote on t		_	•		f San Distric	Gove 7, a Sc	tion	ole to	
under the signer's name sha					ody o hool I	of the Count Distric	ssocia	eligit	
may include their position v		_			ning B /, a Sc   Distr	er(s) ateo (	Fide Association of ns/Organization	lual(s) rre	
By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.				nd believe it not	Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member(s) of the G San Mateo County, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association Citizens/Organization	Individ	
1. Mark W.A. Hinkle President						V			
Phone Ema		Email:	Fres (AeV)						
Address:	• 1								
Signaturd	W	Date:	,,						
Signatura		2	25/13						
2. Harland t	Tarrison	Title:					$\boxtimes$		
Phone:		Email: /	_						
Δ.									
		,					i		
Signature:		Date:	2-22-	2013					
3. Name:		Title:							
Phone:		Email:			<u> </u>				
Address:									
				1					
Signature: Date:									
4. Name:		Title:							
Phone:		Email:							
Address:				1			on the change of		
Signature:		Date:			-				
5. Name:		Title:							
Phone:		Email:	***************************************				L		
Address:			-						
Signature:		Date:			1				
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.									
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Signers Registered N/A Signed Dated									
Signers Registered  Bona Fide Association Verified		N/A N/A	Signe		Dated				
		•							

## Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of Measure N

Proponents say "There is nothing more important than the education of our children."
So, why is so much money NOT being spent on education?
Revenues for 2010-11 were \$4,712,437that's \$14,530 per student (as reported by Education Data  14  Partnership; www.Ed-Data.k12.ca.us).
District expenses were \$4,203,348, for a surplus of \$509,089that's \$1,571 per student NOT spent on $16$ education
While running a surplus, they want MORE money from our wallets.
They are not spending the money we already gave them for children's education. $\Box$
They ran a surplus in budget year 2009-10, too: \$509,174. That's \$1,510 per student NOT spent on $17$ education.
And what about the added revenues promised to the schools by the proponents of last November's $(C)$ Proposition 30? Doesn't matter. The district wants more. $C$
The proponents say, "a number of our large grants are ending this year." So, instead of trying to renew them or get new ones, they would rather force us to pay more in taxes. Instead of earning those grant funds, they would rather take money from YOUby force of law.
They say "funding has been highly successful" and "impressive." They say that in 2012, "71% of our thildren in grades 2 to 5 scored proficient or higher in Math."
In other words, 29% did NOT score proficient in Math.
And what about grades 1 and 6, and all Middle and High School grades?
Why did they NOT mention those grades?
Don't reward failure. Please vote NO on N.
For more information, please visit www.SVTaxpayers.org/la-honda-pescadaro.

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.