

Check List for Accepting Ballot Arguments

** <u>[</u>	* <u>Do Not</u> file stamp the argument until all items below are checked off **						
Z	Is Title of Argument correct? "Argument In Favor of Measure;" "Argument Against Measure;" "Rebutt of Measure;" "Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure Y" (EC §9162,9315,	tal to Argument in Favor 9501)					
	Word Count within limit? Primary Arguments=300 (EC §§9162, 9315, 9501); Rebuttals=250 (EC §9167, 937) the title of the argument and required language from EC §9600 are not counted limit.						
	Required form statement submitted with signatures? If not, do not accept (code states "all arguments filed shall be accompanied by mandatory in the code). (EC §9600)	" and "shall" is					
•	Accompanying signatures and dates EC §9600 states that both proponents <u>and</u> authors must sign if they are different five (5) signatures will be printed as per EC §§9164 and 9501.5. Make sure you k below). Make sure there is only one "title" (if any) appearing underneath each siccounty policy.	now who is who (see					
•	Author Designated? Be sure the author is clearly designated. EC §§9167, 9317, and 9504 requires the send copies of the pro and con arguments to the <u>authors</u> so they may prepare a Rebuttal Arguments, remember to check the primary argument and verify that the has authorized someone else in writing (see form) to prepare/submit/sign rebuttal.	rebuttal argument. For ne author is the same or					
	Who is this argument being filed by? (please circle appropriate choice below) a.) The governing board of the district or member or members of the board auth	norized by the board.					
	 b.) The individual voter, or *bona fide association of citizens, or combination of voters and associations who are the bona fide sponsors or proponents of the measure. 						
	c.) Bona fide association of citizens.						
	d.) Individual voters who are eligible to vote on the measure. If the argument is being submitted on behalf of an organization (or bona fide of the organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its included. (EC §§9164, 9501.5)	association), the name principle officers must be					
Ø	Check each signature against the voter file. Also make sure you check that each "individual voter" lives within the boundaries eligible to vote on the measure. If there is a proponent who is not registered in district they cannot have their signature appear unless they are a "bona fide association".	this county or within the					
Ø	When all of the above items are checked: It is now ok to file stamp the argument as received for filing. Return to the proportion a fax number and e-mail address so you can send them the appropriate at their rebuttal. If no fax or e-mail is available, then obtain an address to mail the a	rgument for preparing					
	Remind proponent of due date for Rebuttal arguments and that the name an author of the primary argument also appear on their rebuttal argument. Purs (county), 9317 (district), and 9504 (school), we may only accept the rebuttal arguments/preparers IF the original authors have provided permission (see form).	suant to EC 9167					
	Ask for an electronic file for the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pa file should be emailed to the Sample Ballot coordinator and cc'd to the filing offi						
ΔΙ	AUTHOR INFORMATION:	F SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALE					
		-ato dans					
Na	Jame Peter Bohacek	HER 2/0/2013					
Ph	Phone	MARK CHUSTROH, Chief-Elections Officer					
E-r	-mailB	THE THE CLERK					

Rebuttal of Argument against Measure N

A problem with out-of-town opposition to our local ballot measure is that they don't know our school 17 system.

Let's look at what really happened and how the schools improved in the last few years. 16

- The API for the District went from 727 in 2006 to 770 in 2012.
- The API for the high school went from 710 in 2006 to 777 in 2012.
- In 2012 71% of all 2nd to 5th graders scored proficient or higher in math./5
- The programs introduced in the last few years focused entirely on increasing student 13
 achievement and LHPUSD has made greater gains than any other San Mateo County school
 district over the past two years.

The 2005 parcel tax also funded a music program for all students. This brought wonderful enrichment to all children and resulted in amazing musical performances.

The 2005 parcel tax also bought 190 computers for students, LCD projectors for classrooms and over 1/2 1600 books for the libraries.

An independent committee examined how the parcel tax money was spent and found that ALL the money was spent as specified in the ballot measure.

Measure N will not increase our taxes by one cent. They just continue unchanged. 14-4

Prop. 30 did not increase funding; it just averted a mid-year budget cut. Nor will the funding changes proposed by the governor increase funds for our District. In fact we anticipate a decrease by about 9% next year.

The benefits from the 2005 parcel tax were outstanding. Continue them!

VOTE YES ON MEASURE N.

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE OFFICER OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

DEPUTY CLERK



Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250	
The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the election	ons official conducting the election no later than
These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebut	
Ballot Measure / V for the	to be held on May 7, 2013.
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure	Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure N
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Alr	ready Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet
the back side of this form.	lual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete s Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposin	ng Primary Argument
five new individuals—you must complete the section belo	an the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument there is only one different individual or whether there are up to ow, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information,
Contact Person:	Phone:
Mailing Address:	_1
Fav	[Fth

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form					Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the <u>one</u> box that applies.					
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.					ft,					
		·	listed balau	Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	rning Body of School District					
Names and titles listed wil	-	•		Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a District	ng Br hool					
If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide					verni , a Sc	ion of ion				
association/organization and may include their position within that					ne Go ounty istric	ociat				
association/organization.					of the Co	le Ass Orga	<u>-</u>			
By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.					Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School Distric or a Special District	Bona Fide Association Citizens/Organization	Individual			
1. Name: ARAH BACHMAN Title: SEF										
Phone Email:										
Add										
Signature;		Date:	0							
		2.21.1	5							
2. Name:	Propu	Pencion Co	oc. Hank							
Phone:	18684	Email:	risultani							
		·								
Address:										
Signaturo		Potes		_		İ				
Signatures	Efy	Date: 2-2)-2013								
3. Amy Woolie	vel	Title: Superin	ntendent							
Phone:		Email:	1011	4						
Address:										
					:	E				
Signature		Date: 2-22-21	213							
4. Deter K. B	chacelt	Title: Truste,	a LAPUSD		X					
Phone:		Email: , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Address:						!				
Signature:		Date: 2/2 2//3				:				
5. Name:		Title:								
Phone:		Email:		_ -	· L	LJ				
Address:										
Signature:		Date:		_						
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.										
		FOR OFFICIAL U	SE ONLY							
Signers Rope Fide Association	Registered	N/A	Signed	Dat						
Bona Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed	Dat	ted					