

# **Check List for Accepting Ballot Arguments**

**	<u>Do Not</u> file stamp the argument until all items below are checked off **	
	Is Title of Argument correct? "Argument In Favor of Measure;" "Argument Against Measure;" "Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure" (EC §9162,9315)	uttal to Argument in Favor 5,9501)
个	Word Count within limit? Primary Arguments=300 (EC §§9162, 9315, 9501); Rebuttals=250 (EC §9167, 9315); the title of the argument and required language from EC §9600 are not counterlimit.	317, 9504) Remember, d towards word count
太	Required form statement submitted with signatures? If not, do not accept (code states "all arguments filed shall be accompanied by mandatory in the code). (EC §9600)	" and "shall" is
×	Accompanying signatures and dates  EC §9600 states that both proponents <u>and</u> authors must sign if they are different five (5) signatures will be printed as per EC §§9164 and 9501.5. Make sure you below). Make sure there is only one "title" (if any) appearing underneath each county policy.	know who is who (see
X	Author Designated?  Be sure the author is clearly designated. EC §§9167, 9317, and 9504 requires to send copies of the pro and con arguments to the authors so they may prepare Rebuttal Arguments, remember to check the primary argument and verify that has authorized someone else in writing (see form) to prepare/submit/sign rebut	a rebuttal argument. For the author is the same or
J	Who is this argument being filed by? (please circle appropriate choice below)  a.) The governing board of the district or member or members of the board au	ithorized by the board.
	b.) The individual voter, or *bona fide association of citizens, or combination of who are the bona fide sponsors or proponents of the measure.	
	c.) Bona fide association of citizens.	
	d.) Individual voters who are eligible to vote on the measure. If the argument is being submitted on behalf of an organization (or bona fic of the organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of it included. (EC §§9164, 9501.5)	de association), the name s principle officers must be
4	Check each signature against the voter file.  Also make sure you check that each "individual voter" lives within the boundarieligible to vote on the measure. If there is a proponent who is not registered in district they cannot have their signature appear unless they are a "bona fide as	n this county or within the
	When all of the above items are checked: It is now ok to file stamp the argument as received for filing. Return to the pro obtain a fax number and e-mail address so you can send them the appropriate their rebuttal. If no fax or e-mail is available, then obtain an address to mail the	argument for preparing
<u>م</u>	Remind proponent of due date for Rebuttal arguments and that the name a author of the primary argument also appear on their rebuttal argument. Pu (county), 9317 (district), and 9504 (school), we may only accept the rebuttal arg signers/preparers IF the original authors have provided permission (see form).	rsuant to EC 9167
7	Ask for an electronic file for the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information file should be emailed to the Sample Ballot coordinator and cc'd to the filing o	fficer.
A	UTHOR INFORMATION:	FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALF.
N	ame_SOURY	TURD 9 1 20 1
		18 40 colo
PI	none Fax	MARK OF BROWN Ship Elections Office

### Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure 0 Election Date: May 7, 2013

The two opponents don't even live in our community. They don't understand the 13 needs of our schools or the value our outstanding schools contribute to our 3 community. Don't let them scare you into voting against Portola Valley students.

The stakes are high—if Measure O doesn't pass, local funding that our schools have counted on for more than a decade will expire. Our schools will lose \$1 million every year, forcing deep cuts to reading, writing, math and science instruction.

As local residents, we know that our schools are a high priority for our community.  $^{1}$ 

Here are the facts about Measure 0:

- Our schools in Portola Valley are among the top in California and they provide a strong academic foundation for our students.
- Measure O is the only solution for our neighborhood schools—Proposition ()
   30 does not provide adequate funding and does not address the needs facing our schools.
- A mandatory independent citizens' oversight committee will review expenditures to ensure that all funds directly support Portola Valley student achievement.
- Local control is essential. With state funding cuts threatening the quality of 12 Portola Valley schools, Measure 0 provides locally-controlled funding the State can't take away.
- Good local schools protect property values for all of us. Measure 0 is an 144 investment in our community and in our property values.

Realtors, business owners, local elected officials, parents, seniors, and community members who live and work in our community every day have come together to support Measure 0.

Vote for Portola Valley students—Vote YES on Measure O.

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE OF SAN MATEO COUNTY CALE!

MARKEHINCH, Chief Elections Officer

DEPUTY CLERK



### **Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form**

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250					
The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than					
These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.					
Ballot Measure for the to be held on					
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure					
Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet					
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.					
Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet					
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument					
If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.					
Contact Person: Susan Strehlow Pho					
Mailine de la company de la co					

	Rebuttal Argument Sign	buttal Argument Signers Form				they are signing. Check the <u>one</u> box that applies.				
	No more than five signatu signatures are submitted,			more than five		/ of itrict,				
	Names and titles listed wi	ll be printed in the or	der that they are l	isted below.	ateo or a S	of Dis				
	If the signers are part of a individual(s), the title und association/organization association/organization. By signing below, the und	er the signer's name and may include thei	shall list the name r position within th	of that bona fide at	Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual		
	it not to be false or mislea	iding.				Mem San N or a S	Bona	Indiv		
	1. Name:  DANIEL J  Phone:		HOA Pre	rtola Valley Ranch sident						
1			7							
•	Address									
	Signature: <		Date: 2 /22/2	C1.3		i,				
	2. Name: (abuans c. TED DRISC) Phone:	<u> </u>	alley and Cur	ayor of Portola rent Town Counce Member						
ſ	Add									
V	Circotura		Date: / / _		-					
	Signature:		2/23/13							
	Name:	me- L	itle: ongtime Res mail:	ident of Portol						
'	Addition									
	Signatu <u>re:</u>		Pate: Feb 24	,2013						
2	Angela Sc	hillace a	itle: Former Pti and Parent	o Treasurer						
	Phone:	E	mail:							
۱ ا										
-	Signature:		Pate: 2/25/2	2013						
•	3. None; Wir (	Clop	Parent -an	d Longtime						
V	Address:			- Charle						
	Signatura		Date: 2/25/	13						
	Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.									
	Signers	☐ Registered	FOR OFFICIAL U	SE ONLY Signed	Da	ited				
	Bona Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed		ited				

 $\sqrt{}$ 

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form					they are signing. Check the <u>one</u> box that applies.			
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five					t.			
•	mitted, the first five listed			Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District			
	sted will be printed in the			fateo or a	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School Distric or a Special District			
	art of a bona fide associat			an M strict,	ernin a Sch	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization		
• • •	tle under the signer's nan			v of s	anty,	ciati		
association/organia	zation and may include th	eir position within ti	idl	Scho	o Cou	Asso		
	he undersigned state that	they have read the	argument and believe	rning ty, a	Mate Mate	Fide	Individual	
it not to be false or	<del>-</del>	they have read the	angument and beneve	Gove Coun Distri	Mem San P or a	Bona Citize	nd:	
Name:	Je 15.50 - 0	Title:   Partol	a Valley Realton					
Phone:	tsboen o	Email:		┦┗┛				
A								
Address:		<u>.</u>	_					
				_				
Signature.		Dotte.						
		2-24-	<u>13</u>					
2. Name:		Title:						
Phone:		Email:		1				
Address:								
Signature:		Date:		-				
					ļ			
3. Name:		Title:						
Phone:		Email:						
Address:			-					
				4				
Signature:		Date:						
4. Name:		Title:						
		r		4 🗀				
Phone:		Email:						
Address:								
Signature:		Date:	-					
						ļ	ļ	
5. Name:		Title:						
Phone:		Email:						
Address:				_				
Address:								
Signature: Date:								
Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.								
		FOR OFFICIAL U						
Signers	Registered	N/A	Signed		ated ated			
Bona Fide Association	☐ Verified	N/A	Signed	U	BIEU			

 $\sqrt{}$ 



# **Authorization Form** Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

#### PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified 9167,

	ure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. <i>California Elections Code</i> § § § 9504
(whicl	indersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit hever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure $\frac{5-7-13}{\text{(date of election)}}$
i.	NEW SIGNER(S):
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: <u>Danie I Rubin</u>
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Julie Seipp
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Sue Crane
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Sue Crane  Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Dean Asborno
II.	NEW PREPARER(S):
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer:
III.	NEW SUBMITTER(S):
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:
	Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter:
NAMI	E(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S): $2/25/13$
	Printed Name and Signature of Author  Susan Strehlow $2/25/13$ Date $2/25/13$
	Susan Strehlow 2/25/13
	Printed Name and Signature of Author Date