



OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS
REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Against G

Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form

A ballot argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Primary Arguments = 300 words

Ballot Measure G for the STATEWIDE PRIMARY to be held on 6-7-22

Primary Argument in Favor of Primary Argument Against G

This argument is submitted by (check ONLY ONE):

<input type="checkbox"/>	The County of San Mateo Board of Supervisors or the Governing Body of a School District or Special District Name of Governing Body: Contact Person's Printed Name: Phone: _____ Email: _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Member(s) of the County of San Mateo Board of Supervisors or the Governing Body of a School District or Special District Name of Governing Body: Contact Person's Printed Name: Phone: _____ Email: _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bona Fide Association of Citizens If this argument is filed by a bona fide association of citizens, the signers of the argument must be affiliated with the association and be authorized to sign the argument on its behalf. Provide the printed name and signature of at least one principal officer of the association. Name of Association: <u>Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association</u> Contact Person's Printed Name: <u>MARK HINKLE</u> Phone: _____ Email: _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Individual Voters Eligible to Vote on the Measure Contact Person's Printed Name: Phone: _____ Email: _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	Combination of Voters and Associations Contact Person's Printed Name: Phone: _____ Email: _____

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org

Primary Argument Signers Form		Author	Verified	
<p>No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. Place a checkmark in the author column if the signer is the author of the argument. A signer can only list one title.</p> <p>If the signers are part of a bona fide association, there is no requirement that they be eligible to vote on the measure. However, for each such signing individual, the title under the signer's name must list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.</p> <p>By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.</p> <p>Print information clearly.</p>		<p>Check if the signer is the author of the argument. Authors must be signers.</p>	<p>(FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY) Staff check once the eligibility of the signer is verified.</p>	
1.	<p>Name: MARK W.A. HINKLE</p> <p>Phone: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Address: [REDACTED] MORGAN HILL, CA 95037</p> <p>Signature: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Date: 3-10-22</p>	<p>Title: President: Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association</p> <p>Email: [REDACTED]</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.	<p>Name: Christopher C. Minioletti</p> <p>Phone: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Address: [REDACTED] San Mateo, CA 94402</p> <p>Signature: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Date: 3/18/22</p>	<p>Title: Chair Pro Tempore Libertarian Party of San Mateo County</p> <p>Email: [REDACTED]</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.	<p>Name:</p> <p>Phone:</p> <p>Address:</p> <p>Signature:</p> <p>Date:</p>	<p>Title:</p> <p>Email:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.	<p>Name:</p> <p>Phone:</p> <p>Address:</p> <p>Signature:</p> <p>Date:</p>	<p>Title:</p> <p>Email:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.	<p>Name:</p> <p>Phone:</p> <p>Address:</p> <p>Signature:</p> <p>Date:</p>	<p>Title:</p> <p>Email:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.



Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association

"A Voice for the Taxpayer"

- Home
- About Us
 - Who We Are
 - Director Emeritus,
Doug McNea
 - Directors -
 - Related Organizations
- Elections
- Issues
- Events
- Press Room
- Member Application / Renewal
- What's Up in Local Government?
- Committee charter documents
- Contribute
- Contact Us

Our Team

You may reach SVTA's volunteer board members by phone at 408-279-5000 or via e-mail at info@SVTaxpayers.org.

OFFICERS (2012-2021 term)

President: Mark W.A. Hinkle (Main number, above, or work: 408-779-7922)

Vice-President: Pete Constant

Secretary: Vacant

Treasurer: Doug Ratdke

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

2012-2021 term:

Anthony Diepenbrock

Mark W.A. Hinkle

(Vacant)

2013-2021 term:

Omar Chatty

Vacant

Steve Haug

2014-2022 term:

Aaron Neighbour

2019-2020

John Inks

2019-2020

Pierluigi Oliverio

**Brisbane Elementary School District \$166 Parcel Tax: Argument Against -
Measure G**

Just **when families are expecting RELIEF** from the supposedly “temporary” \$131/year parcel tax, Brisbane Elementary School District requests **a NEW TAX OF \$786 PER HOME** (\$131 x 6 years) — **on top of the current \$166/year tax.**

Our state has an **estimated \$31 billion budget surplus** — some say higher.

While families grapple with a 7.9% rise in prices, how does it make sense to raise our taxes? Why not utilize the state’s massive surplus — *i.e.*, our tax money?

The district spends \$19,879 per student per year (latest figure, 2019–20). That’s 151% of the average for elementary schools, statewide.

One purpose of this new parcel tax is to “maintain...reading instruction.”

Why is the most fundamental skill we should be teaching our children — reading — apparently **not a priority** in the district’s regular budget?

Next question: Has the district *earned* this tax increase?

Per the California Department of Education’s Education Data Partnership (www.ed-data.org):

- **36.16% of district students are below grade level in English;**
- **46.12% are below in math.**

If you got 36.16% wrong on an English test, you would get a D grade, right? And for 46.12% wrong on a math test, an F?

Should voters and taxpayers be rewarding poor performance? If you answered “no,” then please: **Vote NO on Measure G.**

The \$19,879 spent per student annually equates to \$314,088 per class (average class size: 15.8).

District teachers’ average **salary and benefits are \$101,356 per shortened work year.**

Yet, the district wants to reward itself with more of your hard-earned money, despite poor academic performance?

As parents, we know that **rewarding poor behavior just reaps more poor behavior.**

Urge Brisbane Elementary School District’s board to give our children a proper example of being financially responsible.

Vote NO on Measure G.

13 ✓
11 ✓
10 ✓
12 11
17 ✓
12 ✓
15 16
5 ✓
12 ✓
13 ✓
9 ✓
7 ✓
4 ✓
10 ✓
5 ✓
19 ✓
7 ✓
14 ✓
4 ✓
15 ✓
12 ✓
15 ✓
2 ✓
13 ✓
11 ✓
3 ✓
4 ✓

**You can be FOR teachers, FOR children, and FOR education, but AGAINST eternal
“temporary” tax increases.**

For information: www.SVTaxpayers.org

13 ✓
3 ✓
3 ✓

295

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

MAR 18 2022

MAR
By: [REDACTED]
DEPUTY CLERK