



OFFICE OF  
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-  
 RECORDER & ELECTIONS**  
 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

RECEIVED

AUG 22 2024  
**MARK CHURCH**  
 CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &  
 ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER  
 CITY OF SAN CARLOS  
 CITY CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

### Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a **typed** rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers. **Please make sure you are using proper format before submitting to the Elections Office.**

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure L for the City of San Carlos to be held on November 5, 2024

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure L       Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure \_\_\_\_\_

#### Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and **skip** the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person's Printed Name:

James O. Brown

Phone:

Email:

#### Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Phone:

Email:

**Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.**

**Please complete the reverse side of this form.**

**Rebuttal Argument Signers Form**

Pronouns

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. Honorifics such as M.D., Dr., Esquire, etc. with a name are not accepted.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. **Type** information clearly.

Check one of the boxes below

1.	Name: James O. Brown	Title:	He/ His: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]	She/ Her: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Address: [REDACTED] San Carlos, CA 94070		They/ Them: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Date: August 22, 2024		
2.	Name:	Title:	He/ His: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:	She/ Her: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Address:		They/ Them: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Signature:	Date:	
3.	Name:	Title:	He/ His: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:	She/ Her: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Address:		They/ Them: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Signature:	Date:	
4.	Name:	Title:	He/ His: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:	She/ Her: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Address:		They/ Them: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Signature:	Date:	
5.	Name:	Title:	He/ His: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:	She/ Her: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Address:		They/ Them: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Signature:	Date:	

**Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.**

RECEIVED

AUG 22 2024

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE L

CITY OF SAN CARLOS  
CITY CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

Start

The argument in favor of Measure L is based on an interest in delineating qualifications for the position. That goal can be accomplished without converting the position to appointed and giving up direct citizen oversight through an election. Those interested already have the ability to make qualifications a campaign issue and can publicize their support for a candidate meeting their qualifications. Don't give up your right as a citizen to exercise direct oversight authority over the Treasury function.

16  
14  
15  
14  
16  
2

End



James O. Brown

77