



Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than _____.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure Q for the General Election to be held on November 8, 2016.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Q Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person:

Maureen Freschet

Fax:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
--	--	--	------------

1.	Name: Maureen Freschet	Title: San Mateo Council Member	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Date: 8-26-2016				
2.	Name: Diane Papan	Title: San Mateo Council Member	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Date: 8-26-2016				
3.	Name: Robert Anderson	Title: San Mateo Police Officer (Ret.)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Date: 8-26-16				
4.	Name: Claire W. Mack	Title: Former San Mateo Mayor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Date: 8/26/16				
5.	Name: Steve Parker	Title: 30-year San Mateo	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Date: 8/29/16				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

“Arguments in support or opposition of the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors.”

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Q

We all want to fix our affordable housing issue, but Measure Q will **NOT** solve the problem.
Measure Q is a bad law that will cost millions and put the financial health of our city at risk.

16
19

Measure Q will create a multimillion dollar bureaucracy run by an unelected rent commission with unlimited spending and regulatory power that is not accountable to us or our City Council.

13
15

MEASURE Q INVITES FINANCIAL ABUSE

4

It gives blank check authority to a commission to spend funds without any system of checks and balances. The commission's excessive spending can come from the City's General Fund and be passed on to residents, potentially reducing or eliminating opportunities to fund the construction of affordable housing and important city services.

17
13
12
8

MEASURE Q DID NOT COME FROM THE SAN MATEO AFFORDABLE HOUSING TASK FORCE OR THE CITY COUNCIL

11

Last year, our City Council created the San Mateo Affordable Housing Task Force. It convened diverse stakeholders to recommend solutions addressing San Mateo's housing needs and looked at a range of policies from building more housing, funding affordable housing, and partnering with school districts to construct teacher housing. Measure Q is contrary to these recommendations and does not advance these goals.

10
10
14
13
7

MEASURE Q IS FLAWED

3

A recent report from the nonpartisan California Legislative Analyst's Office criticizes the policies Measure Q would implement.

10
3

Our community works better when we work together. We are building true affordable housing, addressing wage issues, and creating more housing opportunities for all income levels. These collaborative, well-crafted solutions need a chance to work.

14
13
9

Measure Q is **NOT** the solution for San Mateo.

7

Vote **NO** on Measure Q

4

245