



OFFICE OF  
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-  
RECORDER & ELECTIONS**  
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

**MARK CHURCH**  
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &  
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

### Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a **typed** rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers. **Please make sure you are using proper format before submitting to the Elections Office.**

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure HH for the General to be held on 11-5-24.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure HH  Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure \_\_\_\_\_

#### Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and **skip** the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person's Printed Name:

MARK WA HINKLE

Phone:

Email:

#### Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Phone:

Email:

**Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.**

**Please complete the reverse side of this form.**

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.gov web www.smcacre.gov

**Rebuttal Argument Signers Form**

Pronouns

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. Honorifics such as M.D., Dr., Esquire, etc. with a name are not accepted.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. **Type** information clearly.

Check one of the boxes below

1.	Name: <i>MARK W.A. HINKLE</i>	Title: <i>PRESIDENT - SILICON VALLEY TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION</i>	He/ His: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:	She/ Her: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Address: <i>MORGAN HILL, CA 95037</i>		They/ Them: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Signature:	Date: <i>8-17-24</i>	
2.	Name:	Title:	He/ His: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:	She/ Her: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Address:		They/ Them: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Signature:	Date:	
3.	Name:	Title:	He/ His: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:	She/ Her: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Address:		They/ Them: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Signature:	Date:	
4.	Name:	Title:	He/ His: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:	She/ Her: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Address:		They/ Them: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Signature:	Date:	
5.	Name:	Title:	He/ His: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:	She/ Her: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Address:		They/ Them: <input type="checkbox"/>
	Signature:	Date:	

**Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.**

**Rebuttal to Argument in Favor: Measure HH**

**Bayshore Elementary School District \$12,500,000 Bond Tax:**

Proponents say they want to “construct and renovate school facilities” — **at a time when enrollment is DECLINING significantly.** Average Daily Attendance is just 316 students.

Does that make any sense to you? NO?

**Then please vote NO on HH.**

This bond costs \$39,556 per student — and that’s before 30+ years of interest expense at rates up to 12%. Yikes!!!

Will this \$12.5 MILLION bond debt be spent to hire or train great teachers to reverse the dismal student academics?

Answer: **NO**, such usage is not legally permitted for this propose bond debt.

**Let’s look at recent academic performance:**

2022-23 school year test results: **65.92% below grade level for English.**

**74.10% below grade level for math.**

The district “thanked” students, parents, and taxpayers for the passage of its 2016 bond debt measure (\$7 million) with significant drops in proficiency in English and math!

The district rewarded teachers by increasing — despite this dismal performance — the average salary of \$82,090 in 2018-19 to \$94,781 (2022-23) plus benefits.

*Source: Education Data Partnership – www.ed-data.org*

Should you, the voter, reward the district for dropping scores in English and math?

If your answer is “no,” please **Vote NO on HH!**

**If you reward failure, you will get more failure!**

**Reject failure, and tell trustees to be financially responsible: vote No on Measure HH.**

For more information, please visit us at [www.SVTaxpayers.org](http://www.SVTaxpayers.org).

13  
12  
8  
6  
14  
6  
17  
3  
13  
6  
12  
6  
14  
13  
11  
13  
5  
14  
10  
9  
13  
8

226