

Mark Church

CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER & ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a **typed** rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers. **Please make sure you are using proper format before submitting to the Elections Office.**

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words					
Ballot N	Measure U for the MPCSD Bond	to be held on November 5, 2024			
Re	Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure U				
Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument					
If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form.					
V	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument				
	Contact Person's Printed Name:				
	Katherine Bicer				
	Phone:	Email:			
Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument					
The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).					
	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)				
	Contact Person's Printed Name:				
	Phone:	Email:			

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.gov web www.smcacre.gov

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form				าร
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.				
Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. Honorifics such as M.D., Dr., Esquire, etc. with a name are not accepted.				
If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Type information clearly.				
1.	Name:	Title:	Check one of the boxes below	
Pho	ne.	Email:	His:]
Phone: Email:			She/	_
Address:			Her:	J
Sigr	nature:	Date:	They/ Them:	J
2.	Name:	Title:	He/ His:	_ _
Phone: Email:		She/		
Address:			Her:	
Signature:		Date:	They/ Them:	
3.	Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Phone:		Email:	She/	
Address:				╛
Signature:		Date:	They/ Them: [J
4.	Name:	Title:	He/ His: []
Pho		Email:	She/ Her: [_
Address:				
Signature:		Date:	They/ Them: [J
5.	Name:	Title:	He/ His:	_
Pho		Email:	She/ Her:	J
Address:				
Sigr	nature:	Date:	They/ Them: [J

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT IN OPPOSITION TO MEASURE U

Measure U is about safe and secure schools.

The Menlo Park City School District has schools with old buildings and outdated systems. These issues can't be ignored if we want to keep our kids safe and provide the best education possible. California schools are underfunded, spending less per pupil than the national average. If we want safe schools, we need Measure U.

Measure U will fix these problems and make our schools safer. It will replace old buildings, install air conditioning and upgrade security systems. These improvements are necessary to keep our kids safe and comfortable at school.

Measure U takes advantage of matching funds and energy grants. Without this measure, the community will miss over \$28 million in matching funds. This is a rare opportunity to bring more resources to our district without putting the entire burden on local taxpayers.

Our school district has a strong record of managing money well. Every dollar from Measure U will stay in our community and be carefully monitored by an independent committee. The District's bond program has already sayed taxpayers over \$25 million by refinancing older bonds, its AAA credit rating allows it to pay lower interest rates, and it remains committed to taking advantage of all future taxpayer savings opportunities.

Measure U is a smart investment in our children's future. It will help keep our schools safe and strong for years to come.

Vote yes on Measure U to support our kids, our community and our schools.

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

AUG 14 2024